nasscom



Nasscom GCC Awards 2025

February 4, 2025

Awards Process Guidebook

Contents

Guidelines	3
Organization details	8
Award categories	11
Innovation with Impact	
Excellence in Building Talent for the Future	29
Exemplary Value-Adds through Ecosystem Collaboration	51
Leadership in New Capability Specialization	61



Ρ	а	g	е	3
---	---	---	---	---

Section 02 Guidelines



In today's fast-paced world, Global Business Services (GBS) models have significantly disrupted enterprise operations by transitioning from personalized support to shared services and standardized processes. This transformation is complex, especially for organizations focused on reputation, customer centricity, and performance.

GCCs (Global Capability Centers) have evolved from cost-saving entities into drivers of innovation and digital transformation, playing a critical role in global organizations. They now foster new capabilities, lead innovation initiatives, and manage hybrid work models.

The **Nasscom GCC Awards 2025** recognize and celebrate stellar performances in GCC organizations that have demonstrated excellence and emerged as role models.

To nominate your GCC for an award, please note the following key considerations:

- The award is open to all GCC entities registered in India (both Nasscom members and non-members).
- Nasscom does not charge any fee to participate in this initiative.

List of award categories

Innovation with Impact

Recognizing GCCs driving transformative business outcomes through bold and innovative solutions

This category recognizes GCCs that have seamlessly integrated innovation into their core operations, proactively identifying business needs and delivering comprehensive solutions across process, technology, and talent dimensions. These GCCs have demonstrated exceptional ownership and accountability, driving sustainable and measurable impact – whether in revenue growth, market share, customer experience, or cost optimization – for the global enterprise focusing on innovations that go beyond incremental improvements to create substantial and transformative impact for the global enterprise.

Excellence in Building Talent for the Future

Recognizing skill-building initiatives that invest in and develop future-ready workforces

This award recognizes GCCs that have implemented impactful skilling practices to proactively build a future-ready workforce. It highlights initiatives that strengthen domain expertise, foster leadership development, enhance technical skills, improve diversity, and elevate employer branding, among other accomplishments. The category also celebrates efforts to drive technology adoption across talent initiatives and innovative programs, such as large-scale digital skilling and collaborations with external ecosystems to advance skilling efforts.



Exemplary Value-adds through Ecosystem Collaboration

Recognizing impactful collaborations driven at a global level to enhance strategic enterprise initiatives

This award recognizes GCCs that have demonstrated excellence in driving impactful collaborations with the external ecosystem at a global level, including partnerships with technology providers, start-ups, product vendors, service providers, and other ecosystem players. The category celebrates GCCs that have leveraged these collaborations to drive strategic enterprise initiatives such as enhancing product portfolios, improving customer experiences, achieving cost savings, enabling automation, and boosting productivity. It also highlights innovative, replicable models born from these collaborations that accelerate the GCC's transformation into a global hub for innovation and value delivery.

Leadership in New Capability Specialization

Recognizing GCCs that have successfully expanded capabilities in emerging areas not yet scaled globally

This category recognizes GCCs that have successfully built and expanded capabilities in emerging areas – often those that do not yet exist at scale within other parts of the global enterprise. These GCCs have moved beyond traditional functions such as accounting, HR operations, or industry-specific tasks. The award celebrates GCCs that exhibit exceptional ownership, influence, and accountability in driving the enterprise mandate, elevating the GCC's role as a transformational hub that delivers global business impact.

Note: Participating GCCs will be segmented into three categories to ensure meaningful comparison. The segmentation will be based on tenure of the GCC, size of the GCC (number of FTEs), the size of GCC relative to the enterprise size, and the number of locations in India.

Segments

- Striders: In the early stages (GCCs that were set up in or after January 2022), these GCCs are confidently moving forward and laying the groundwork for sustained growth. They are agile, driven, and maintain momentum as they build a solid foundation for the future. This category encompasses GCCs that were set up on or after January 2022.
- 2. **Accelerators**: At the growth stage (typically 5-10 years of operation), these GCCs are expanding their reach and establishing a competitive advantage. Their business and operational models are streamlined and efficient, propelling them toward further success. These GCCs have scaled operations.
- 3. **Pacers**: Well-established (10-plus years of operations, highly scaled operations, or house a large share of global headcount in India) and confident in their path, these GCCs set the pace for others.



Awards will be presented by segment (e.g., GCCs in Accelerator segment will compete with other GCCs in same segment for each award category).

Please note any incorrect information provided may lead to disqualification from the awards process. Kindly ensure all details are accurate and verifiable.

Date of submission: Wednesday, March 5, 2025

Verification of submissions: In addition to the information contained within this document, Nasscom or Everest Group (the strategic partner for the event) may reach out to each GCC for additional information to evaluate the application. Kindly note that nominations can only be submitted through the online portal. Please note any incorrect information provided may lead to disqualification from the awards process. Kindly ensure all details are accurate and verifiable.

Important instructions to keep in mind when developing your submission

We suggest you download an offline copy of the awards process guidebook and capture all the relevant information and then entering it in the online form at one time. Kindly update the organizational details in the form prior to completing your nomination.

A GCC can submit nominations for any number of award categories in a single session. However, we allow only one submission per award category. If you submit nominations for different awards categories in multiple sessions, please keep the **organization name consistent** for ease of reference during evaluation.

Criteria and instructions for uploading the case study / supporting documents:

- Submission should reflect the initiatives run by the participating GCCs in the last 24 months (since Jan 2023).
- The nomination process involves two steps: (1) responding to a survey specific to each nominated category, and (2) uploading a four-page case study for each nominated category.
 - Survey:
 - For each category, there will be a 20-25 question survey (questions listed in this guidebook for quick reference).
 - All questions in the survey are mandatory. The survey responses will include both qualitative and quantitative inputs.
 - Note: Submission should reflect the initiatives run by the participating GCCs in the last 24 months (since January 2023)



- Case study: In addition to completing the online survey, applicants are required to upload one award submission document per category nominated with the following guidelines:
 - Page limit: maximum of 5 pages, including the title page and section dividers.
 We will review only the first 5 pages of submissions that exceed 5 pages
 - Pages showcasing the GCC's stellar contribution in the award category area; for example, for Innovation with Impact, this case study would include initiatives undertaken in the award timeframe (January 2023 to December 2024) that resulted in impactful contributions for the global firm
 - Please focus on case studies and initiatives that demonstrate how the GCC has taken a leadership role in conceptualization, design, and execution
 - The document should be in PDF format (either PowerPoint or Word saved as a PDF)
 - There is no fixed template for the case study.
 - Provide relevant metrics wherever possible to assess impact/outcomes.
 - The maximum file size for the document(s) is 25MB.
- In addition to the case study, nominees have an option to send other relevant supporting material (file size limited to 25 MB) such as videos/links, testimonials to gcawards25@nasscom.in



Section 03 Organization details



Demographic information	
GCC name:	
Global organization headcount (end of CY 2024):	
Global GCC headcount (across all countries with GCC presence; end of CY 20	:024):
India GCC headcount (end of CY 2024):	
Year of GCC inception in India:	
Total number of GCC location(s) in India:	
List the city(ies) in which the GCCs is/are located:	
Key functions served from the GCC:	
Which of the following best describes your organization's industry?	
[] Aerospace and defense	
[] Automotive	
[] Banking and financial services	
[] Communications, media, and entertainment	
[] Consumer goods	
[] Electronics and hi-tech	
[] Healthcare providers	
[] Healthcare payers	
[] Insurance	
[] Life sciences	
[] Manufacturing	
[] Metals, mining, and other natural resources	
[] Oil, gas, and energy	
[] Professional services	
[] Retail	
[] Software products	
[] Internet and cloud related services	
[] Telecommunications	
[] Travel and hospitality	
[] Transportation and logistics	
[] Utilities	



[] Other (please specify):*
GCC Point of Contact (primary point of contact for all communications)
Name:
Designation:
Email:
Phone (telephone/mobile):
GCC Head/CEO details
Name:
Designation:
Email:
Please select the award submission category(ies) you are applying for; you can select as many as you would like.
If you select multiple categories, they will appear sequentially.
[] Innovation with Impact
[] Excellence in Building Talent for the Future
[] Exemplary Value-Adds through GCC-led Ecosystem Collaboration
[] Leadership in New Capability Specialization



Section 04 Award categories



Innovation with Impact

Introduction

This category recognizes GCCs that have seamlessly integrated innovation into their core operations, proactively identifying business needs and delivering comprehensive solutions across process, technology, and talent dimensions. These GCCs have demonstrated exceptional ownership and accountability, driving sustainable and measurable impact – whether in revenue growth, market share, customer experience, or cost optimization – for the global enterprise.

This category focuses on innovation as a core capability within GCCs and not a standalone event.

About your Innovation Team

1) How is your innovation team structured?
 () Centralized (all innovation activities managed by a single, core team) () Decentralized (innovation responsibilities distributed across multiple teams or departments) () Hybrid (a combination of centralized oversight with decentralized execution) () Project-based (teams formed temporarily for specific innovation projects) () Other (please specify):
2) What is your GCC's innovation team's size?
() Less than 5 FTEs () 5-10 FTEs () 11-20 FTEs () 21-50 FTEs () More than 50 FTEs
3) Please indicate the age (in years) of your GCC's dedicated innovation initiatives / resources / team.
() 0-2



() More than 10

() 6-10

Vision and strategy

4)	Which	of	the	following	statements	best	describes	your	GCC's	role	in	the
en	terprise	inı	nova	tion strate	gy?							

() Opportunistic	support	with no	influence	on	scope	of	work;	i.e.,	parent	stakeho	Iders
drive demand											

- () Execution partner with limited influence on the nature of work; largely a parent-driven approach
- () Influence go/no-go for the nature of work supported in most areas; the GCC may partner in a few corporate innovation programs
- () End-to-end partner in corporate innovation programs; i.e., the GCC has a seat at the table
- 5) Please rank the following innovation impact areas, in the <u>last 24 months</u>, in the order of current priority for your GCC's innovation capability, with 1 being highest.

Drag/select top 5 relevant/applicable options from the left-hand list into the right-hand column – the order in which you drag/select the options indicates the order of importance. *

Develop new products/services
Enhance product/service offering competitiveness
Generate cost savings
Enhance customer experience
Enhance employee experience
Drive efficiency and effectiveness in the product development process (e.g. automation, analytics, and/or smart supply chain)
Improve asset utilization and process accuracy
Mitigate risk (e.g., increase business resilience, reduce operational loss, and/oincrease compliance)
Increase consumer base and retain existing consumers
Diversify into a new geography or customer segment



6) Please rank the following innovation impact areas in the order of <u>future (next 12-18 months)</u> priority for your GCC's innovation capability, with 1 being highest.

Drag/select top 5 relevant/applicable options from the left-hand list into the right-hand column – the order in which you drag/select the options indicates the order of importance *

Develop new products/services
Enhance product/service offering competitiveness
Generate cost savings
Enhance customer experience
Enhance employee experience
Drive efficiency and effectiveness in the product development process (e.g., automation, analytics, and/or smart supply chain)
Add new and retain existing customers
Diversify into a new geography or customer segment
Mitigate risk (e.g., increase business resilience, reduce operational loss, and/or improve compliance)

7) What is your GCC's ownership across the following stages of the innovation lifecycle?*

	No ownership 1	2	3	4	5	6	End-to- end ownership 7
Idea generation	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Concept testing	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Detailed design	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Development/implementation	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Deployment/In production	()	()	()	()	()	()	()

Feedback and validation	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
-------------------------	----	----	----	----	----	----	----

8) What is the breakdown of your innovation initiatives in the last 24 months across the following innovation section below. Each area must <u>sum to 100%.</u>

Types of innovation:*
Incremental innovation (i.e., improvement in existing products/services/processes)
Adjacent innovation (i.e., expansion of existing products/services into new segments)
Transformational innovation (i.e., creation of new businesses to serve new customer segments)
Areas of innovation:*
Product/service innovation (includes new transformational product development and/or existing product/service enhancement using emerging technologies)Process innovation (includes optimization of processes to make them efficient, effective, or predictive)Business model innovation (includes value creation by making changes to both the organization's value proposition for customers (i.e., choice of target segment, product or service offering, and revenue model) and to the underlying operating model)
Geographies supported:Domestic/local market (e.g., India market from India)Regional market (e.g., APAC market from India)
Global market (e.g., Americas or European market from India)

Operating model



9) Which of the following best describes the organization structure for driving innovation at GCC level?

() C-level executives based in the parent firm own GCC innovation initiatives
() The GCC head owns its innovation initiatives
() The innovation head / dedicated steering committee own innovation initiatives
() Functional / business unit leaders based in the GCC own their respective functional/business unit's innovation initiatives
() Project-based approach with no dedicated resources for driving innovation; the resources that come up with the idea are responsible for governing and managing innovation
() Other <i>(please specify)</i> :*

10) To what extent of you adopted the following levers to identify innovation opportunities in your GCC.

	Least adopted 1	2	3	4	5	6	Most adopted 7
Unstructured (experiment and learn)	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Crowdsource ideas	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Leverage the external ecosystem (academic institutions, start-ups, service providers)	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Cross-function pollination	()	()	()	()	()	()	()

Opportunistically look for innovative ideas as part of defined projects	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Execute on a structured innovation process (e.g., ideation committee)	()	()	()	()	()	()	()

11) Which of the following best describes your GCC's approach to using metrics to track the impact of innovation initiatives?*

	Not used currently; no plans to adopt	Not used currently; plans to adopt in 12-18 months	Currently used
Idea-/concept-based metrics (e.g., number of ideas approved, number of Proofs of Concept (POCs), POCs successfully transitioned to implementation, number of patents filed, profitable ideas as a percentage of implemented ideas)	()	()	()
Financial metrics (e.g., innovation budget, ROI on innovation spend, cost saved on operations,	()	()	()

revenue/profit from new products and services, impact of innovation projects on parent business outcomes)				
Operational metrics (e.g., number of employees involved in innovation, percentage of executive time spent on strategic innovation, number of hours contributed by employees to innovation per year, percentage improvement in process efficiency, percentage improvement in staff productivity)	()	()	()	
Among the idea-/concept-	based metrics	that your organiza		ch are track?
the me	trics	•		
_	trics	•		
the me	etrics	you		
the me [] Number of ideas approved [] Number of POCs	etrics	you		
[] Number of ideas approved [] Number of POCs [] POCs successfully transiti [] Number of patents filed [] Profitable ideas as a percent	etrics d oned to implementage of imple	you nentation mented ideas		
the me [] Number of ideas approved [] Number of POCs [] POCs successfully transiti [] Number of patents filed	etrics d oned to implementage of imple	you nentation mented ideas		
[] Number of ideas approved [] Number of POCs [] POCs successfully transiti [] Number of patents filed [] Profitable ideas as a percent	etrics defined to implementage of imple	you nentation mented ideas	which are the n	track?



[] ROI on innovation spend [] Cost saved on operations

[] Revenue/profit from new products and services

[] Impact of innovation projects on parent business outcomes [] Other (please specify):*
Among the operational metrics that your organization tracks, which are the metrics you track?
[] Number of employees involved in innovation
[] Percentage of executive time spent on strategic innovation
[] Number of hours contributed by employees to innovation per year
[] Percentage improvement in process efficiency
[] Percentage improvement in staff productivity
[] Other (please specify):*
12) What is your GCC's approximate ideation rate in the last 24 months (i.e., the number of ideas approved for a proof of concept divided by the total number of ideas generated)?*
() Don't know/don't track
() Less than 1%
() 1-4%
() 5-9%
() 10-19%
() 20-29%
() 30-39%
() 40-49%
() 50% or more
13) What is the breakdown of your current innovation ideas (including those in the
idea pipeline) across the following innovation lifecycle stages? The total must sum to 100%.*
Idea generation
Concept testing



i ago j
Detailed design
Development/implementation
Deployment/in production
Feedback and validation
14) Does your GCC have a dedicated fund/budget for innovation?*
() Yes
() No
() 140
15) What is the current budget for your GCC's innovation capability (in US\$)?*
() Less than 100,000
() 100,000-5 00,000
() 500,000-1 million
() 1-5 million
() 5-10 million
() > 10 million
Doonlo conchilities
People capabilities
16) To what extent have you adopted the following approaches to fostering

16) To what extent have you adopted the following approaches to fostering a culture of innovation in your GCC?*

	Least adopted 1	2	3	4	5	6	Most adopted 7
Deploy performance metrics linked to outcomes / business objectives	()	()	()	()	()	()	()

Conduct events such as ideathons and innovation tournaments	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Recognize highly innovative employees/teams	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Stimulate an experimentation mindset and instill a risk appetite	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Make workplace changes (e.g., innovation labs, garages, and/or digital pods)	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Promote intrapreneurship (ownership of innovative ideas)	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Offer innovation training to employees across levels	()	()	()	()	()	()	()

17) What is the typical	composition of roles in your GCC innovation capability? Th	е
total must sum to 100	%. *	

Leadership members (typically C-level executives or functional/BU heads)
Program managers (senior managers and/or dedicated managers responsible for driving innovation programs/projects)
Technologists / data analysts (stay abreast of technologies, understand how specific technologies are used and how to solve technology problems; capable of gathering large amounts of data and analyzing and synthesizing information)



Strategists (typically tenured senior resources with extensive experience in innovation programs and solid domain knowledge; help to shape the overall innovation strategy by introducing best practices adopted by peers and key pitfalls to avoid)
Product experts (subject matter experts who research and test new technologies, understand user needs and pain points, and map out requirements; have deep understanding of what customers need and want)
Advocates/Marketers (help communicate progress, advise on next steps, and build excitement by creating success stories; often these individuals are marketers or creatives)
Others

18) What is your organization's approach to measuring employee performance with regard to innovation?*

	Not used currently; no plans to adopt	Not used currently; plans to adopt in 12-18 months	Currently used
Input metrics (e.g., number of innovative ideas)	()	()	()
Output metrics (e.g., number of successful POCs)	()	()	()
Outcome metrics (e.g., cost savings)	()	()	()

Technology capabilities

19) Which technologies are currently being used to enable innovation in your GCC*



[] Data & analytics
[] Cloud
[] Cybersecurity
[] Robotic Process Automation (RPA)
[] Artificial Intelligence (AI) / Machine Learning (ML) / Natural Language Processing (NLP)
[] Generative AI
[] Augmented Reality (AR) / Virtual Reality (VR)
[] Internet of Things (IoT)
[] Blockchain
[] Other (please specify):*
Drag/select all options from the left-hand list into the right-hand column – the order in which you drag/select the options indicates the level of investment* [] Data & analytics [] Cloud
[] Cybersecurity
[] Robotic Process Automation (RPA)
[] Artificial Intelligence (AI) / Machine Learning (ML) / Natural Language Processing (NLP)
[] Generative AI
[] Augmented Reality (AR) / Virtual Reality (VR)
[] Internet of Things (IoT)
[] Blockchain

External ecosystem

21) To what extent do you partner with the following ecosystem players for your GCC's innovation initiatives?*



	No engagement	Partner on a project or need basis	Partner at a strategic level; formally engaged across multiple initiatives
Academic institutions			
Service providers			
Technology vendors			
Start-ups			
Government bodies / enablers (e.g., central / state government)			

22) Which ecosystem partner(s) does your GCC leverage across the following stages of the innovation lifecycle?*

	ldea generation	Concept testing	Detailed design	Developme nt/impleme ntation	Deploymen t/ In Production	Feedback and validation
Academic institutions						
Service providers						
Technology vendors						
Start-ups						
Government bodies / enablers (e.g., central / state government)						

23) Who leads overall governance and collaboration between the GCC's innovation initiatives and the external ecosystem?*

()	C-level	executives	based in	the	parent	tırm
-----	---------	------------	----------	-----	--------	------

- () The GCC head
- () The innovation head based in the GCC
- () Functional / business unit leaders based in the GCC
- () Other (please specify): _______*

Outcomes





•		your GCC's inno		•	•
() We do not	t measure F	ROI on innovation	initiatives		
() Less than	5%				
() 5-9%					
() 10-14%					
() 15-19%					
() 20-29%					
() 30-49%					
() 50-100%					
()	Mor	е	than	100%
25) How lor months?*	ng did it t	ake to achieve	that ROI for	initiatives run	in the past 24
() Don't knov	V				
() Less than	3 months				
() 3-6 months	S				
() 7-12 mont	hs				
() 13-18 mor	nths				
() 19-24 mor	nths				
<i>'</i>	_	of the GCC's in parent firm's bus			ve been finally
() Don't knov	V				
() Less than	1%				
() 1-4%					
() 5-9%					
() 10-19%					
() 20-29%					
() 30-49%					
()	50%	or	more	

Everest Group®

27) How much improvement have your GCC's innovation initiatives generated across the following objectives?*

	No improvement	0- 5%	6- 10%	11- 15%	16- 20%	21- 30%	More than 30%
Cost savings	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
SLA compliance	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Staff productivity	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Efficiency and effectiveness in the product/service development process (e.g., automation, analytics or smart supply chain)	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Intellectual property creation (e.g., patents or trademarks)	()	()	()	()	()	()	()

28) To what extent have the GCC's innovation initiatives helped you to achieve the following objectives?*

	Not applicable	Limited impact	2	3	4	5	6	Significant impact 7
Improving end- customer experience	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Improving employee satisfaction within the parent organization	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Increasing the number of successful product/service offerings	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Accelerating speed to market for products/services	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Risk mitigation (e.g., improving business resilience)	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Improving forecasting and demand management	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Improving customer retention and	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()

new customer acquisition								
Diversifying new geography or customer segment	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()

Excellence in Building Talent for the Future

Introduction

This award recognizes GCCs that have implemented impactful skilling practices to proactively build a future-ready workforce. It highlights initiatives that strengthen domain expertise, foster leadership development, enhance technical skills, improve diversity, and elevate employer branding, among other accomplishments. The category also celebrates efforts to drive technology adoption across talent initiatives and innovative programs, such as large-scale digital skilling and collaborations with external ecosystems to advance skilling efforts.

Vision & strategy

- 1) Which of the following best describes the role your GCC plays in the overall talent skilling strategy?*
- () Limited/no role
- () Ad hoc support, with limited/no influence on overall skilling strategy for the enterprise
- () Execution partner for enterprise skilling initiatives limited to GCC employees
- () Responsible for end-to-end design and execution of skilling initiatives for the GCC workforce (including use of central enterprise-wide programs in some areas and GCC-specific programs)
- () Actively collaborate with the enterprise in skilling initiatives for the overall workforce (i.e., the GCC has a seat at the table in broader skilling strategy)
- () Skilling Center of Excellence (or a similar team) is based in the GCC and plays a critical role in enterprise-wide skilling programs



2) Please rate the importance of the following drivers behind the skilling strategy your GCC has adopted.*

	Not important 1	2	3	4	5	6	Extremely important 7
Meet evolving enterprise/business needs	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Address challenge of limited availability of required skills in the market	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Plug gaps in skills for current roles	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Redeploy the existing workforce into new roles	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Reduce reliance on external hiring / outsourcing	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Improve employee experience and retention	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Optimize people costs	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Drive continuous improvement/efficiency activities	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Empower creativity and innovation	()	()	()	()	()	()	()



in relation to the enterprise's overall program? Please select the single option that best describes your approach.*
[] The GCC strictly follows the enterprise's L&D and skilling programs with no additional initiatives
[] The GCC primarily follows enterprise programs but implements additional skilling initiatives for specific GCC roles or requirements
[] The GCC develops its own L&D programs to better address its talent needs
Team structure and composition
4) How many Full-Time Equivalents (FTEs) work in your GCC organization's skilling team?*
() No FTEs dedicated to this function
() 1-4
() 5-9
() 10-19
() 20-49
() 50-99
() 100-199
() 200 or more
5) Where does the skilling/L&D team within your GCC report into?*
() Corporate HR / L&D team (regional/HQ)
() Head of HR / Head of L&D / Head of People & Culture, etc. in the GCC
() Head of GCC
() Respective heads of BUs (if there are multiple skilling teams within the GCC)
() Other (please specify):*

3) How does your GCC align its Learning & Development (L&D) and skilling strategy



6) What is the composition of roles in your GCC skilling team? Please provide the percentage for contractual or cross functional resources on an FTE equivalent basis. The total must $\underline{\text{sum to } 100\%.*}$

Program managers (senior management members and/or	dedicated					
managers responsible for driving skilling programs/projects)						
SMEs (subject matter experts with deep understanding of technology skills)	functional,					
Content designers (specialists responsible for content design and	curation)					
Trainers/faculty (specialists responsible for content delivery)						
Associates (junior resources supporting teams in day-to-day activities)						
Technology specialists (focus on building and deploying e-learning tools)						
Others (e.g., leadership coach, empathy coach, etc.)						

7) What is the GCC central skilling/L&D team's role across each of the following functions / delivery teams?*

	Limited/no responsibility	Support functions / BUs in execution of skilling initiatives	Joint responsibility with functions / BUs in planning and execution	Primary responsibility for driving skilling initiatives
Corporate functions (industry-specific and back-office corporate functions)	()	()	()	()
IT services	()	()	()	()
Digital services (including analytics)	()	()	()	()

Engineering Research & Development (ER&D)	()	()	()	()
Managerial development	()	()	()	()
Leadership development	()	()	()	()

Program design

8) To what extent do you agree with the following statements regarding skilling programs within your GCC?*

	Strongly disagree 1	2	3	4	5	6	Strongly agree 7
We regularly interview senior leadership, functional heads, and team leads to identify skill gaps	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
We have a clear taxonomy of skills (across various teams), which is in line with evolving job roles for the next 3-5 years	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
We have a centralized repository of current skills within	()	()	()	()	()	()	()



the GCC that is updated real-time or periodically							
Our skilling initiatives are closely aligned with employees' career development paths	()	()			()	()	()
We encourage employees to share their skilling needs and choose initiatives outside of their work needs	()	()	()	()	()	()	()

9) How frequently do you evaluate skill gaps within your GCC?*

- () Real time
- () Monthly
- () Quarterly
- () Every 6-12 months
- () Every 2-5 years
- () No fixed pattern



10) For each of the following areas, please use the sliding scale to indicate your GCC approach to skilling.

	1	2	3	4	5
Skilling philosophy (1=theory- based learning; 5=project-based learning)	()	()	()	()	()
Approach to content design (1=in-house content; 5=third-party content)	()	()	()	()	()
Degree of customization of skilling programs (1=highly customized for different individuals/teams; 5=largely templatized)	()	()	()	()	()
Leverage of subject matter experts (1=internal; 5=external)	()	()	()	()	()
Employee access to skilling programs (1=on-demand; 5=scheduled)	()	()	()	()	()
Approach to administration of skilling programs (1=employee-led; 5=instructor-led)					
Approach to content delivery (1=classroom-based; 5=experiential)					
Leverage of next-generation technology (e.g., M-learning, E-learning, gamification-based) learning (1=opportunistic; 5=extensive)					
Use of external skilling platforms (e.g., MOOCs, SPOCs) (1=opportunistic; 5=extensive)					
Use of mentorship initiatives (1=opportunistic; 5=extensive)					



Execution and engagement

11) To what extent have you adopted the following approaches to improve employee engagement with skilling initiatives within your GCC*

	No adoption 1	2	3	4	5	6	Significant adoption 7
Monetary rewards (e.g., financial incentive, gift vouchers, funding fees)	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Non-monetary rewards (e.g., internal rewards, badges, other forms of recognition)	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Skill premium allowance for expertise in niche skill areas	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Accelerated career paths for employees who excel in learning/skilling initiatives	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Opportunities for internal mobility across roles, locations, and functions	()	()	()	()	()	()	()

Internal competitions (individual/team level)	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Special events (e.g., hackathons, innovation Fridays)	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Offering mentoring and SME roles to experts	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Providing opportunities for external presentations	()	()	()	()	()	()	()

Others:	
---------	--

12) To what extent have you undertaken the following initiatives to build/enhance the culture of continuous learning within your GCC?*

	No adoption 1	2	3	4	5	6	Significant adoption 7
Lead by example	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Link learning to personal growth (beyond organizational needs)	()	()	()	()	()	()	()

Include skilling goals in leadership/ management Key Results Areas (KRAs)	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Ensure dedicated time for skilling initiatives	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Celebrate successful teams	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Encourage healthy competition	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Offer unrestricted access to the learning ecosystem	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Adopt learning as core organizational value	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Fund or sponsor employees for courses outside the organization	()	()	()	()	()	()	()

Others:	

13) Which ecosystem partner(s) are you working with, and what is the nature of the engagement?*

	No active partnerships	Planning to engage in the next 12 months	Ad hoc, limited engagement	Selective engagement for specific needs	Extensive, programmatic engagement
Academic institutions / universities	()	()	()	()	()
Technology product vendors (e.g., SAP, Microsoft, Google Cloud)	()	()	()	()	()
Learning Content and Assessment (LCA) vendors (e.g., Skillsoft, Udemy, Mettl)	()	()	()	()	()
Learning Management System (LMS) vendors (e.g., SAP Success Factors, Workday, ADP)	()	()	()	()	()
Start-ups	()	()	()	()	()
External SMEs/trainers	()	()	()	()	()

Certification companies (e.g., PMP)	()	()	()	()	()

14) What is/are the top strategic reason(s) for partnering with each ecosystem partner type? Please select all reasons that apply for each partner type.*

	Optimize costs	Accelerate speed to implement	Access to technology	Ease of use / employee experience improvement	Lack of internal resources
Academic institutions / universities	()	()	()	()	()
Technology product vendors (e.g., SAP, Microsoft, Google Cloud)	()	()	()	()	()
Learning Content and Assessment (LCA) vendors (e.g., Skillsoft, Udemy, Mettl)	()	()	()	()	()
Learning Management System (LMS) vendors (e.g., SAP Success Factors, Workday, ADP)	()	()	()	()	()

Start-ups	()	()	()	()	()
External SMEs/trainers	()	()	()	()	()
Certification companies (e.g., PMP)	()	()	()	()	()

15)	Which	of the	following	best	describes	your	GCC's	role i	n managing	external
ver	idors/	partne	rships link	ed to	the skilling	g initia	atives?			

- () Enterprise driven; the GCC's role is limited to day-to-day coordination
- () Support the enterprise in identification, and/or selection, and/or engagement with external partners/vendors
- () Independently engage and manage vendors in some areas

Infrastructure and investment

16) How have your GCC skilling programs performed over the last 24 months across the following metrics?*

	Do not track	Do not track; plan to adopt in 6-12 months	Track, but data not available	Less than 5%	5- 9%	10- 19%	20- 49%	More than 50%
Skilling budget as a percentage of total annual GCC budget	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()



Share of employee time earmarked for L&D/skilling initiatives	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Share of executive time spent on skilling initiatives	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Typical skills premium offered to employees	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()

	Do not track	Do not track; plan to adopt in 6-12 months	Track, but data not available	Less than 25 hours	25-49 hours	50-99 hours	00- 199 hours	More than 200 hours
Number of learning hours per FTE per year	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()

	Do not track	Do not track; plan to adopt in 6-12 months	Track, but data not available	Less than \$500	\$500- 999	\$1,000- 1,999	\$2,000- 4,999	More than \$5,000
Skilling budget per FTE per year	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()

•	estimate the percentage breakdown of funding sources for your GCC grams. The total must <u>sum to 100%.</u> *
Fro	om the parent organization / enterprise (corporate initiatives)
Fro	om business units/functions for specific needs
All	ocations from GCC budget
Ex	ternal sources (e.g., central/state governments, industry associations)
En	nployee contributions/deductions or charge-backs
Otl	hers
•	estimate the share of spend across the following activities in your GCC atives. The total must <u>sum to 100%</u> .*
Co	ntent design for skilling programs
Ex	ecution and delivery of skilling programs (including faculty/trainers)
Em	nployee engagement and incentives
1.6	
Int	rastructure (including technology tools)
	rastructure (including technology tools) rticipation in external events



19) To what extent have you adopted the following technologies for the skilling programs within your GCC?*

	Not Applicable	Limited adoption 1	2	3	4	5	6	Significant adoption 7
Data & analytics	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Cloud	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Digital Adoption Platforms (DAP)	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Robotic Process Automation (RPA)	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Artificial Intelligence (AI) / Machine Learning (ML) / Natural Language Processing (NLP)	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Generative Al								
Augmented Reality (AR) / Virtual Reality (VR)	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()

Gamification and simulation	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Simulation								

20) In which areas does your GCC use next-generation technologies for skilling initiatives (based on your response to the previous question).*

	Limited 1	2	3	4	5	6	High 7
Learning administration (e.g., registration, tracking)	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Content design and curation	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Execution and content delivery	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Skills assessment	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Feedback and validation	()	()	()	()	()	()	()

21) What workplace changes have you made within your GCC to accelerate your employees' skilling/learning?*

	Not applicable	Limited adoption 1	2	3	4	5	6	Significant adoption 7
Dedicated learning zones (e.g., innovation/simulation lab, pods)	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Seating design options to encourage co-location and collaboration among teams	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Virtual workplaces to encourage informal exchange of ideas	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Showcase great ideas and success stories across workplace	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()

Ot	hers:					

Outcomes

22) How have your GCC skilling programs performed over the last 12 months across the following metrics?*

	Do not track	Do not track; plan to adopt in 6-12 months	Track, but data not available	Less than 5%	5- 9%	10- 19%	20- 49%	More than 50%
ROI on skilling spend	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Reduction in operating costs	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Reduction in hiring costs	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Reduction in voluntary attrition	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Share of FTEs enrolled in skilling programs	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Share of FTEs who have undertaken skilling programs to date	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Completion rate for typical skilling initiatives	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Impact on process efficiency (degree of improvement)	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()

Productivity improvements	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Demand fulfillment through internal reskilled/upskilled resources	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Share of FTEs redeployed to new roles following reskilling/upskilling	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Share of FTEs with live project experience on new skills	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Reduction in time to fill open positions (attributed to increased availability of internally reskilled/upskilled resources)	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()

	Do not track	Do not track; plan to adopt in 6-12 months	Tracked, but data not available	Less than 5	5- 9	10- 19	20- 29	More than 30
Number of new skills learned per FTE per year	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()

Number of new certifications per FTE per year (for employees who have undertaken skilling	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
initiatives)								

23) To what extent have the GCC skilling initiatives helped in achieving the following objectives?*

	Not applicable	Limited impact	2	3	4	5	6	Significant impact 7
Improving employee experience	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Enhancing employees' project readiness	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Proactively preparing for evolving enterprise needs	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Positioning the GCC as a global talent hub	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Expanding the GCC	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()

services portfolio								
Improving business outcomes	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()

24. What initiatives has your GCC undertaken to ensure effective skilling for diverse and inclusive groups (e.g., women, underrepresented minorities, people with disabilities, LGBTQIA+ individuals, veterans, etc.)?

() Designed inclusive skilling progr	ams that address	unique needs of d	iverse talent (e.g.
women, minorities, individuals with	disabilities).		

- () Collaborated with diversity-focused organizations or institutions to enhance access to skilling opportunities.
- () Established sponsorship or leadership pipelines specifically for underrepresented groups.

()	Incorporated	diversity	and	inclusion	modules	into	all	skilling	programs	to	raise
aw	areness and fo	oster allys	hip.								

()	Other					
١	,	Othici	 	 	 	 	



Exemplary Value-Adds through GCC-led Ecosystem Collaboration

Introduction

This award recognizes GCCs that have demonstrated excellence in driving impactful collaborations with the external ecosystem at a global level, including partnerships with technology providers, start-ups, product vendors, service providers, and other ecosystem players. The category celebrates GCCs that have leveraged these collaborations to drive strategic enterprise initiatives such as enhancing product portfolios, improving customer experiences, achieving cost savings, enabling automation, and boosting productivity. It also highlights innovative, replicable models born from these collaborations that accelerate the GCC's transformation into a global hub for innovation and value delivery.

Vision and strategy

1) To what extent do you agree with the following statements regarding external collaboration in your GCC?

	Strongly disagree 1	2	3	4	5	6	Strongly agree 7
The GCC's expectations for external collaboration are clearly defined and articulated to individual teams	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
The GCC's external collaboration strategy is part of the enterprise's	()	()	()	()	()	()	()



	Т	1		1			T
operating model/DNA							
The GCC's external collaboration strategy is completely aligned to the enterprise's external collaboration strategy	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
The GCC intentionally invests in developing a strong external ecosystem with active CXO-level participation	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
The GCC prioritizes short-term financial results over investing for the long term	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
The GCC is risk averse when it comes to new ideas	()	()	()	()	()	()	()

2)) What are the GCC's objectives for collaboration with the external ecosystem?											
	 [] Leverage third-party provider expertise [] Drive external innovation [] Staff augmentation [] Academic talent scouting and building a strong talent pipeline for the future [] Part of the enterprise's global mandate for collaboration [] Enhance GCC resources' skill set [] Drive cost-effective outcomes 											
Ν	ature of c	ollaboratio	n									
3)	B) How does the GCC collaborate with external partners? [] Project-specific / select collaboration [] Long-term / ongoing collaboration											
•	 4) What kind of external partners does the GCC engage with? [] Start-ups [] Academic institutions [] Service providers / technology product vendors 5) Which ecosystem partner(s) are you working with, and what is the nature of the engagement? 											
		No active partnerships	Planning to engage in the next 12 months	Ad hoc, limited engagement	Selective engagement for specific needs	Extensive, programmatic engagement						
i	Academic () () () () () universities											
	Service () () () () () () () () Minimize the service of technology product vendors (e.g., SAP, Microsoft, () () () () () () () () () () () () ()											



Google Cloud)					
Start-ups	()	()	()	()	()

6) What is/are the key strategic reason(s) for partnering with each ecosystem partner type? Please select all reasons that apply for each partner type.

	Optimize costs	Accelerate speed to implement	Access to technology	Ease of use / employee experience improvement	Lack of internal resources
Academic institutions / universities	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
Service providers / technology product vendors (e.g., SAP, Microsoft, Google Cloud)	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
Start-ups	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]

7)	What	kind	of	initiatives/events	does	the	GCC	organize	as	part	of	its
	collab	oratio	n w	ith external partne	rs?							

() Innovation challenges or competitions	
() Incubator programs	
() Other (please specify)	

8) What is the predominant model for engagement with external partners for innovation and technology?

()	Joint	R&D
----	-------	-----

⁽⁾ Customizing technology developed by service providers for GCC/enterprise use



() GCC-led, third-party provider supported (e.g., staff augmentation)

In	frastructure and investment
9)	How are the initiatives and collaboration with external ecosystem typically funded?
	 () The GCC funds initiatives from its budget () The enterprise funds the initiatives () The funding is shared by the GCC and the enterprise
10	Who leads overall the governance and collaboration between the GCC and the external ecosystem?
	() C-level executives based in the enterprise () The GCC head
	() A dedicated governance team for all external collaboration
	() Functional / business unit leaders based in the GCC
	() Other (please specify):
11)	What kind of investments has your GCC undertaken in collaborating with the external ecosystem? () Investment in start-ups () Investment in academic institutions to set up innovation hubs or laboratories () Joint investment in third-party providers for emerging technologies () Other (please specify)
12	What model of collaboration has worked best for your GCC?
	 () Project/engagement-specific investment () Long-term investment () Joint investment between GCC and third-party providers () Others (please specify)
E	recution and engagement

- 13) How does the GCC engage with the external ecosystem partners?
 - () The GCC co-creates with external ecosystem partners
 - () Engagement is fully outsourced to the respective ecosystem partner(s)



	() The GCC selectively taps into talent but predominantly manages the engagement in house () Other (please specify)
14)What is the GCC's role in execution and engagement?
	 () The GCC owns the program end to end () The GCC co-owns the program with the enterprise () The GCC facilitates the program with outcomes owned by the enterprise
15)Who manages the collaboration with the external ecosystem?
	 () The GCC has a dedicated program management team () The GCC has separate leaders for different ecosystem partners () The enterprise manages it () Ad hoc teams collaborate with external ecosystem partners or specific engagements

Outcomes

16) What is the ROI from the external ecosystem collaboration?

Third party	Start-up	Academia
() We do not measure	() We do not measure	() We do not measure
ROI on initiatives	ROI on initiatives	ROI on initiatives
() Less than 5%	() Less than 5%	() Less than 5%
() 5-9%	() 5-9%	() 5-9%
() 10-14%	() 10-14%	() 10-14%
() 15-19%	() 15-19%	() 15-19%
() 20-29%	() 20-29%	() 20-29%
() 30-49%	() 30-49%	() 30-49%
() 50-100%	() 50-100%	() 50-100%
() More than 100%	() More than 100%	() More than 100%



17) How often are outcomes from partnerships scaled to global centers?

() Never	
() Rarely, with minor success	
() Occasionally, with moderate outcomes	
() Frequently, with significant success	

() Consistently, with transformative outcomes

18) What types of outcomes have you realized from start-ups?

	No improv ement	0- 5%	6- 10 %	11- 15 %	16- 20%	21- 25%	26- 35%	More than 35%
Cost savings	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
SLA compliance	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Staff productivity	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Efficiency and effectiveness in the product/service development process (e.g., automation, analytics or smart supply chain)	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Intellectual property creation (e.g., patents or trademarks)	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()



19) What types of outcomes have you realized from academia?

	No improvement	0- 5%	6- 10%	11- 15%	16- 20%	21- 25%	26- 35%	More than 35%
Cost savings	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
SLA compliance	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Staff productivity	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Efficiency and effectiveness in the product/service development process (e.g., automation, analytics or smart supply chain)	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Intellectual property creation (e.g., patents or trademarks)	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()

20) What types of outcomes have you realized from third-party providers?

	No improvement	0- 5%	6- 10%	11- 15%	16- 20%	21- 25%	26- 35%	More than 35%
Cost savings	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()



SLA compliance	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Staff productivity	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Efficiency and effectiveness in the product/service development process (e.g., automation, analytics or smart supply chain)	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Intellectual property creation (e.g., patents or trademarks)	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()

21)To what extent has the GCC's external collaboration helped in achieving the following objectives?

	Not applicable	Limited impact	2	3	4	5	6	Significant impact 7
Improving end- customer experience	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Improving employee satisfaction within the parent organization	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Increasing the number of	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()

successful product/service offerings								
Accelerating speed to market for products/services	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Risk mitigation (e.g., improving business resilience)	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Improving business outcomes	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Improving customer retention and new customer acquisition	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Proactively preparing for evolving enterprise needs	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Positioning the GCC as a global talent hub	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Expanding the GCC's services portfolio	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()

22) What percentage of the outcomes from the GCC's external collaboration have been fully incorporated into the enterprise's business operations?

Third party	Start up	Academia		
() Don't know	() Don't know	() Don't know		
() Less than 10%	() Less than 10%	() Less than 10%		
() 11-20%	() 11-20%	() 11-20%		
() 21-30%	() 21-30%	() 21-30%		
() 31-40%	() 31-40%	() 31-40%		
() 41-50%	() 41-50%	() 41-50%		
() 50% or more	() 50% or more	() 50% or more		

Leadership in New Capability Specialization

Introduction

This category recognizes GCCs that have successfully built and expanded capabilities in emerging areas – often those that do not yet exist at scale within other parts of the global enterprise. These GCCs have moved beyond traditional functions such as accounting, HR operations, or industry-specific tasks. The award celebrates GCCs that exhibit exceptional ownership, influence, and accountability in driving the enterprise mandate, elevating the GCC's role as a transformational hub that delivers global business impact.



Important note

The new capability, within the scope of the award category, is distinct from mature functions such as finance, HR, and IT, as well as from roles that have been fully transitioned from the enterprise to the GCC. It represents a function that may not have previously existed in other areas of the enterprise, with GCCs assuming a high level of ownership and accountability for the outcomes. It could be a single specialized capability or multiple specialized capabilities developed.

The capability may be applied at a functional or business level, or at a broader enterprise level. Some indicative examples include a deep learning solution for an insurance company that examines claim patterns, historical data, and external factors to detect and prevent fraudulent claims before they are processed,

0R

a solution for a retail brand that utilizes AI-powered in-store cameras to monitor customer movements, identify bottlenecks in store layouts, and deliver personalized offers based on customer behavior

OR

a leading pharma GCC established a unique capability focused on analyzing drug performance. This team consolidates data from various stages of drug development and sales performance to hypothesize and identify factors impacting a drug's success. Through this innovative approach, the team delivers actionable insights that optimize decision-making and strategy. This capability is distinctive to the GCC, with no similar function existing elsewhere in the global enterprise, highlighting the center's role in driving innovation and specialized value.



Purpose and motivation

1)	How many of these capabilities have been conceptualized and established by the GCC?
	()1
	() 2-3
	() 4-6
	()6+
2)	What was the timeframe in which the new capability(ies) was established?
	() Less than 1 year
	() 1-2 years
	() 3-4 years
	() 4-5 years
	() More than 5 years
3)	What are the key features and functions of the newly established capability(ies)?
	(For example, Capability 1 (deep learning solution for an insurance company) that examines claim patterns, historical data, and external factors to detect and prevent fraudulent claims before they are processed,
	examines claim patterns, historical data, and external factors to detect and prevent
4)	examines claim patterns, historical data, and external factors to detect and prevent fraudulent claims before they are processed, Capability 2 (solution for a retail brand that utilizes Al-powered in-store cameras) to monitor customer movements, identify bottlenecks in store layouts, and deliver
4)	examines claim patterns, historical data, and external factors to detect and prevent fraudulent claims before they are processed, Capability 2 (solution for a retail brand that utilizes Al-powered in-store cameras) to monitor customer movements, identify bottlenecks in store layouts, and deliver personalized offers based on customer behavior)
4)	examines claim patterns, historical data, and external factors to detect and prevent fraudulent claims before they are processed, Capability 2 (solution for a retail brand that utilizes Al-powered in-store cameras) to monitor customer movements, identify bottlenecks in store layouts, and deliver personalized offers based on customer behavior) Why did this capability(ies) not exist in the enterprise before now? [] It existed previously but did not have enough demand and/or expertise/resources



	[] The volume of work was not large enough within the business to justify its establishment[] Regulatory compliance challenges								
	[] Increased sponsorship from the enterprise[] Previous organizational priorities or strategies that did not emphasize the development of this capability								
	[] Evolving business n approach	eeds or market co				-		re specialized	
	[] Newer technology e	nabling conceptu	alizat	ion of	these	roles	3		
5)	What were the prima	ry drivers for set	ting	up the	е сар	abilit	y(ies)	in India GCC?	
	() Enterprise-driven: G	e in operations						·	
	() GCC-drive: GCC has serve the enterprise		urea a	and Id	entifie	ea nev	w сар	abilities to better	
Vi	sion and strateg	у							
6)	What foundation is n	ew capability(ies	s) and	chore	d on?	•			
	() A completely new car () Consolidation of value to-end capability			٠.	•	•	•		
	() Outcome of collabor combining their expenses	ertise into a cohe	•			team	s with	in the enterprise,	
7)	() Others (please specify)7) To what extent did the following contribute to the successful deployment of the capability(ies) in the GCC?								
	capability(les) in the	0001							
		No contribution 1	2	3	4	5	6	Significant contribution 7	
	Strong business ontext	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	



Deep process expertise	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	
Executive sponsorship/mandate	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	
Access to specialized talent	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	
Advanced technology capabilities	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	
Mature third-party ecosystem	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	
Comprehensive GCC scope coverage	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	
8) What approach did the GCC adopt in building the capability(ies)? () Conceptualized, designed, and implemented in-house () Co-created with external providers (i.e. service providers, start-up) () Mix of both in-house and external providers								
•	9) How much autonomy does the India GCC have in decision-making for the specialized capability(ies)?							
 () Full autonomy with clear decision-making power () Some autonomy, but needs final approval from the enterprise () Limited autonomy () No autonomy, all decisions are made by the enterprise; the GCC only houses the team supporting the capability 								

10) Who is responsible for the new capability(ies)' outcomes?



() GCC executive leadership() GCC functional leaders

() Global enterprise functional leaders

Operating model

11) What is the primary a	pproach to funding th	e new capability(ies)?
---------------------------	-----------------------	------------------------

- () Specific business units / regions as needed
- () The GCC, eventually charged to end users (e.g., functions / businesses)
- () A central fund for building new capabilities (from the global enterprise)

12) What is the primary structure for new capability(ies)?

	Predominantly embedded within business units/functions 1	2	3	4	5	6	Predominantly central – dedicated functional team
Structure for new capabilities	()	()	()	()	()	()	()

13) Which teams are involved in designing solutions for the capability(ies)?

	Enterprise	GCC	Third-party partners
Conceptualization	()	()	()
Proof of concept	()	()	()
Capability building	()	()	()
Capability expansion/ scale-up	()	()	()

14) What percentage of GCC FTEs is currently engaged in the new capability(ies)?



	() Less than 5% of the Ir () 5-9% of the India GCC () 10-15% of the India G () More than 15% of the	C workforce CC workforce		
15	i) What percentage of g GCC?	lobal FTEs for this	capability(ies) is base	d in the India
	() Less than 40% of the GCC	global workforce for	these capabilities resid	les in the India
	() 40-59% of the global v () 60-79% of the global v () 80% or more of the glo	vorkforce for these ca	pabilities resides in the	India GCC
16	s) What technologies are your GCC?	you currently using	to support the new ca	pability(ies) in
	[] Data & analytics [] Cloud			
	[] Cybersecurity			
	[] Robotic Process Autor	nation (RPA)		
	[] Artificial Intelligence (A (NLP)	d) / Machine Learning	(ML) / Natural Languaç	je Processing
	[] Generative Al			
	[] Augmented Reality (Al	,	₹)	
	[] Internet of Things (IoT [Other	(please	specify):
			×	



Talent model

17)	What is your current approach	i to soui	rcing/building t	he workforce	required for
	the new capability(ies)?				

- () Predominantly hired from external sources
- () Currently hiring from external sources, with plans to roll out reskilling/upskilling programs within 3-12 months
- () Predominantly trained internally, via programmatic upskilling/reskilling
- () Mix of external hiring and internal training (ad hoc / opportunistic upskilling/reskilling)
- () Mix of in-house and third-party teams

18) What is the proportion of talent profiles hired in the GCC for this capability(ies)?

	Less than 10%	10-19%	20-29%	30-39%	40% or more
IT/technology experts (e.g., developers, engineers, solution architects, etc.)	()	()	()	()	()
Operations/domain experts (e.g., finance SMEs, operations leads)	()	()	()	()	()
Techno-functional specialists (e.g., ERP consultants, SAP supply chain management)	()	()	()	()	()
Others (please describe)	()	()	()	()	()



Outcomes

•	typical Return on Investment (net benefits or profits / total or the new capability(ies) in the last 24 months?*
() We do not measure () Less than 5% () 5-9% () 10-14% () 15-19% () 20-29% () 30-49% () 50-100% () More than 100% (s	e ROI on this capability pecify)
20) How long did it take past 24 months?*	to achieve that ROI for the new capability(ies) to run in the
() Don't know () Less than 3 months () 3-6 months () 7-12 months () 13-18 months () 19-24 months	5



21) How much improvement has the new capability(ies) generated across the following objectives?*

	No improvement	0- 5%	6- 10%	11- 15%	16- 20%	21- 30%	More than 30%
Cost savings	()	()	()	()	()	()	[specify]
SLA compliance	()	()	()	()	()	()	[specify]
Staff productivity	()	()	()	()	()	()	[specify]
Efficiency and effectiveness in the product/service development process (e.g., automation, analytics or smart supply chain)	()	()	()	()	()	()	[specify]
Intellectual property creation (e.g., patents or trademarks)	()	()	()	()	()	()	[specify]

22) To what extent has the new capability(ies) helped you to achieve the following objectives?*

	Not applicable	Limited impact	2	3	4	5	6	Significant impact 7
Improving end- customer experience	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Improving employee satisfaction within the enterprise	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Increasing the number of successful product/service offerings	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Accelerating speed to market for products/services	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Risk mitigation (e.g., improving business resilience)	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Improving forecasting and demand management	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Improving customer retention and new customer acquisition	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
Diversifying new geography or customer segment	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()

